

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/849,818

Applicant(s)

SANDHU ET AL

Examiner

Ronald Baum

Art Unit

2136

All Participants:(1) Ronald Baum.(2) Alfred Stadnicki.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006**Time:** 13:00**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1,9,10,17

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Discussed how the claim language phrase "MAC'd" is not proper and could be interpreted as confusing. Mr. Stadnicki agreed to changing to "message authenticated coded".

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)